

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,643	6,643 04/18/2001		Sylvain Kravtchenko	05725.0879-00 7339		
22852	7590	04/17/2003				
FINNEGAN LLP	I, HEND	ERSON, FAR	EXAMINER			
1300 I STRE	ET, NW		ELHILO, EISA B			
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	1			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1751	12	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
	Office Action Summary	09/836,643	KRAVTCHENKO ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	The MAN NO DATE AND	Eisa B Elhilo	1751					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply			ss				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rooty within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2003						
l		his action is non-final.						
2	/		toro proposition of the					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	•					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-49</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Application Papers								
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication)				
	<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro-</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> <li>achment(s)</li> </ul>	visional application has bee	n received.					
3)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>				
PTO	stent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper					

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1 This action is responsive to the remarks filed on February 24, 2003.

Claims 1-6 and 8-48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. (US 5,993,491) in view of de la Mettrie et al. (US WO 99/17730) and Kajino et al. (US 5,254,333), for the reasons set forth in the previous office action on paper No. 10, dated 8/28/2002.

5 Claim 7 stands objected to for the reasons set forth in the previous office action on paper No. 10, dated 8/28/2002.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 24/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon Lim (US' 491) in view of de la Mettrie (WO' 730) and Kajino (US' 333), Applicant argues that there is no evidence of a motivation or suggestion to combine the references because the references teach different dye compositions.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because Lim (US' 491) as a primary reference teaches and discloses a hair dyeing composition comprising the claimed compound of 1-(4-aminophenyl) pyrrolidine as an oxidation base which may be present in admixture with one or more other oxidation dye precursors, for example, primary dye intermediate or conventional coupling compounds to provide intense coloration to hair (see col. 3, lines 36-52), other oxidation bases such as p-phenylenediamine derivatives (see col. 5, lines 25-37), Thickeners such as starch and cellulose derivatives (see col. 8, lines 18-19) and hydrogen peroxide as an oxidizing agent (see col. 9, lines 5-10). De la Mettrie (WO' 730) clearly teaches

Application/Control Number: 09/836,643

Art Unit: 1751

and discloses in analogous art a hair dyeing composition comprising heterocyclic compounds such as pyridine derivatives, pyrimidine derivatives and pyrazole derivatives as oxidation bases (see page 10, lines 23-27), other oxidation bases such as p-phenylenediamine derivatives (see page 6, lines 21-27) and enzymatic oxidizing agents of 2-electron oxidoredouctase enzymes such as glucose oxydases and lactates oxydases (see page 4, lines 11-14). Kajino (US' 333) in other analogous art teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising thickening polymers such as hydroxyethylcellulose, carboxymethylcellulose, guar gum, pertin and xanthan gum as biopolysaccharide gum of microbial origin as claimed (see col. 11, lines 60-68 and col. 12, lines 7-10). Therefore, there is a motivation to combine the compound of 1-(4-aminophenyl) pyrrolidine as an oxidation base as taught by Lim (US' 491) with the enzymatic oxidizing agents of 2-electron oxidoreducases as taught by De la Metrrie (WO, 730) since these enzymes are used as oxidizing agents which generate hydrogen peroxide in the dyeing composition with thickening polymers as taught by Kajino (US' 333) and, thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate these dyeing ingredients in the dyeing composition with a reasonable expectation of success to provide intense coloration to the hair as taught by Lim (US' 491). Therefore, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established. The examiner advised the applicant to provide a data or showing to indicate that the dyeing composition of the claimed invention demonstrates superior and unexpected results over the closest prior art composition.

Page 3

7 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/836,643

Art Unit: 1751

Page 4

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elhilo Eddlo April 15, 2003

**Primary Examiner**